NICOLA T. HANNA 1 United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division
STEVEN R. WELK 2 3 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
JOHN J. KUCERA (California Bar No. 274184)
DAN G. BOYLE (California Bar No. Pending)
Assistant United States Attorneys
Asset Forfeiture Section 4 5 6 Federal Courthouse, 14th Floor 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-3391/2426 7 8 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142 9 John.Kucera@usdoj.gov Daniel.Boyle2@usdoj.gov E-mail: 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 *IN THE MATTER OF THE SEIZURE OF:* Case No. 18-CV-06742-RGK (PJW) 16 ANY AND ALL FUNDS HELD IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S REPUBLIC BANK OF ARIZONA RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW 17 CAUSE DATED NOVEMBER 26, ACCOUNT[S] XXXX1889, XXXX2592, 18 XXXX1938, XXXX2912, AND **2019** XXXX2500. 19 20 Plaintiff United States of America (the "Government"), by and through its 21 counsel of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of California 22 23 and Assistant United States Attorneys John J. Kucera and Dan G. Boyle, hereby files its response to the Court's recent Order to Show Cause (Dkt. 125). 24 25 On November 26, 2019, this Court ordered all parties to show cause why 26 this action should not be consolidated with 31 other civil actions currently before 27 this Court, each seeking forfeiture of property alleged to be traceable to

Backpage.com (hereinafter the "Backpage Forfeiture Actions), in connection with

28

1 an ongoing criminal action in the District of Arizona, captioned United States v. 2 Lacey, No. 18-CR-422-PHX-SMB. 3 The Government agrees that each of the Backpage Forfeiture Actions 4 contain common questions of law and fact under Fed.R.Civ.P. 42, and accordingly, 5 the Government does not object to consolidation of the Backpage Forfeiture Actions. Notwithstanding any consolidation, however, separate judgments should 6 still issue in each of the Backpage Forfeiture Actions. See Hall v. Hall, 138 S. Ct. 7 8 1118, 1130 (2018) ("[T]hrough consolidation under Rule 42(a) 'one or many or all 9 of the phases of the several actions may be merged' but 'separate verdicts and judgments are normally necessary." (quoting 3 J. Moore & J. Friedman, Moore's 10 Federal Practice § 42.01, pp. 3050–3051, n. 12 (1938))). Here, certain of the 11 12 Backpage Forfeiture Actions concern real property, see, e.g., United States of America v. Real Property Located in Maricopa County, Arizona, 2:18-CV-08555-13 RGK-PJW, and as a practical matter, separate judgments are functionally 14 necessary to properly record chain of title on any such real property which may be 15 forfeited following judgment. For this reason, the Government does not oppose 16 consolidation of the Backpage Forfeiture Actions, but respectfully requests that 17 18 separate judgements issue following any consolidation. 19 Dated: November 27, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 20 NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney 21 BRANDON D. FOX 22 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 23 24 DAN G. BOYLE 25 JOHN J. KUCERA **Assistant United States Attorney** 26 Attorneys for Plaintiff 27 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

28